Fatigue and Fracture

Static Strength and Fracture Stress Concentration Factors

Professor Darrell F. Socie Mechanical Science and Engineering University of Illinois

© 2004-2013 Darrell Socie, All Rights Reserved

Stress Concentration Factors

- Fracture Mechanics
- Approximate Stress Intensity Factors
- Ductile vs. Brittle Fracture





"Load flow" lines







$$\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\sigma} = \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2} \right) + \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \left(1 + 3\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{4} - 4\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2} \right) \cos 2\theta$$
$$\frac{\sigma_{\theta}}{\sigma} = \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2} \right) - \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \left(1 + 3\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{4} \right) \cos 2\theta$$
$$\frac{\tau_{r\theta}}{\sigma} = -\frac{1-\lambda}{2} \left(1 - 3\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{4} + 2\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2} \right) \sin 2\theta$$

Independent of size, dependant only on r/a

Stress Distribution





stresses around the circumference of a hole





$$K_T = 1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{a}{\rho}} \qquad \rho = \frac{b^2}{a}$$

Sharp Notch: high K_T high gradient

Blunt Notch: low K_T low gradient













| t | ε _x | ε _z | σ_{x} | σ _z |
|----|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| 7 | 0.01 | -0.005 | 63.5 | 0 |
| 15 | 0.01 | -0.003 | 70.6 | 14.1 |
| 30 | 0.01 | -0.002 | 73.0 | 21.8 |
| 50 | 0.01 | -0.001 | 75.1 | 29.3 |

Fracture Surfaces



Fracture Surfaces



Stress or Strain Control?



Elastic material surrounding the plastic zone forces the displacements to be compatible, I.e. no gaps form in the structure.

Boundary conditions acting on the plastic zone boundary are displacements. Strains are the first derivative of displacement

Define K_{σ} and K_{ϵ}



Define: nominal stress, S nominal strain, e notch stress, σ notch strain, ε

Stress concentration
$$K_{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{S}$$

Strain concentration $K_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{e}$







Nominal Stress

Notched Plate Experiments



Materials: 1018 Hot Rolled Steel 7075-T6 Aluminum

1/4 thick

1018 Stress-Strain Curve



7075-T6 Stress-Strain Curve







7075-T6 Test Data



Failure of a Notched Plate





Net section stresses must be below the flow stress



Notch strains must be below the fracture strain



Plates and shells
2D stress state
Solids
3D stress state

Stress Concentration in a Bar



Bridgeman Analysis (1943)





Elastic stress distribution

Plastic stress distribution

$$\tau = \frac{\sigma_z - \sigma_r}{2} = \text{constant}$$



$$\sigma_{z} = \sigma_{o} \left[1 + \ln \left(\frac{a^{2} + 2a\rho - r^{2}}{2a\rho} \right) \right]$$

$$P_{z} = \int_{0}^{a} 2\pi r \sigma_{z} dr$$

$$P_{max} = \pi a^2 \sigma_{flow} \left(1 + \frac{2\rho}{a} \right) ln \left(1 + \frac{a}{2\rho} \right)$$

$$P_{max} = A_{net} \sigma_{flow} CF$$

CF constraint factor



| a /ρ | CF | |
|-------------|------|--|
| 0 | 1 | |
| 1 | 1.21 | |
| 2 | 1.38 | |
| 4 | 1.64 | |
| 8 | 1.73 | |
| 20 | 2.63 | |
| 00 | 2.96 | |

$$P_{max} = A_{net} \sigma_{flow} CF$$







1018 Steel Test Data



7075-T6 Test Data





Net section area, state of stress and material strength control the failure load in a structure only in ductile materials. In brittle materials, cracks will form before the maximum load capacity of the structure is reached.

Static Strength and Fracture

Stress Concentration Factors

Fracture Mechanics

- Approximate Stress Intensity Factors
- Ductile vs. Brittle Fracture






1943

1972





for a crack $a \sim 10^{-3}$ $K_{T} \sim 2000$ $\rho \sim 10^{-9}$

Fracture Mechanics Parameters

- G strain energy release rate
- K stress intensity factor
- J J-integral
- R crack growth resistance

Strain Energy Release Rate







Strain Energy Release Rate, G



Strain Energy Release Rate, G



G is the energy per unit crack area needed to extend a crack

Stress Intensity Factor, K













Stress < Strength

 $\sigma < \sigma_y$

Stress Intensity < Fracture Toughness

 $K < K_{Ic}$

Two cracks with the same K will have the same behavior





operating stresses





plane stress

plane strain





What would the critical crack size be in a standard tensile test ?

$$a_{critical} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{K_{IC}}{\sigma_f} \right)^2$$

Fracture Toughness



From M F Ashby, Materials Selection in Mechanical Design, 1999, pg 431

© 2004-2013 Darrell Socie, All Rights Reserved

Measuring Fracture Toughness







From Wilhem "Fracture Mechanics Guidelines for Aircraft Structural Applications" AFFDL-TR-69-111









Fracture Surfaces



Thickness Requirements



Size Requirements

t,W−a,a ≥2.5
$$\left(\frac{K}{\sigma_{ys}}\right)^2 \approx 50r_p$$

 σ_{ys} K_{lc} t,

| | Oys | | ι, ΠΠΠ |
|-----------|------|-----|--------|
| 2024- T3 | 345 | 44 | 40.7 |
| 7075 -T6 | 495 | 25 | 6.4 |
| Ti-6Al-4V | 910 | 105 | 33.3 |
| Ti-6Al-4V | 1035 | 55 | 7.1 |
| 4340 | 860 | 99 | 33.1 |
| 4340 | 1510 | 60 | 3.9 |
| 17-7 PH | 1435 | 77 | 7.2 |
| 52100 | 2070 | 14 | 0.1 |

mm

Strength, Toughness, Flaw Size







Collapse, Wearne, P. TV Books, NY 1999









 $\sigma_u = 100 \text{ ksi}$ $\sigma_y = 75 \text{ ksi}$

Working stress 50 ksi CVN = 2.6 ft-lb at 32° F CVN = 8.6 ft-lb at 165° F

Fracture Toughness

 $\frac{K_{IC}^2}{E} = 2(CVN)^{\frac{3}{2}} (psi-in,ft-lb)$ Barsom-Rolfe $K_{1C} = 15.5 \sqrt{CVN}$ (ft – lb) **Corten-Sailors** $K_{IC} = 9.35 \text{ CVN}^{1.65}$ (ft – lb) **Roberts-Newton** $K_{ic} = 15.9 \text{ ksi} \sqrt{\text{in}}$ Barsom-Rolfe $K_{\rm IC} = 25.0 \, \text{ksi} \sqrt{\text{in}}$ Corten-Sailors $K_{\rm IC} = 45.2 \, \text{ksi} \sqrt{\text{in}}$ **Roberts-Newton** Average 28.7



Assume a corner crack

$$K_{\rm IC} = \sigma (1.12)^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\pi a}$$

- Let $\sigma = \sigma_y$ a ~ 0.073 inches
- Let $\sigma = 50$ a ~ 0.163 inches

Modern Aircraft Materials



Bucci et. al., "Need for New Materials in Aging Aircraft Structures" Journa of Aircraft, Vol. 37, 2000, 122-129



Both stress and flaw size govern fracture

$$K_{lc} > \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right)$$

Static Strength and Fracture

- Stress Concentration Factors
- Fracture Mechanics
- Approximate Stress Intensity Factors
- Ductile vs. Brittle Fracture

Stress Intensity Factors

- Analytical
 - Theory of elasticity
- Numerical
 - Finite element
- Experimental
 - Compliance
- Handbook
- Approximate

Stress Intensity Factors

$$\mathsf{K} = \frac{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{s}}\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{t}}}{\Phi}\sigma\sqrt{\pi \mathsf{a}}$$

M_s free surface effects

M_t back surface effects

 Φ crack shape effects





$$M_{s} = 1.12$$






Edge Cracked Plate in Tension



$$F\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2b}{\pi a}} \tan \frac{\pi a}{2b} \left(\frac{0.752 + 2.02\frac{a}{b} + 0.37(1 - \sin \frac{\pi a}{2b})^3}{\cos \frac{\pi a}{2b}} \right)$$

Static Strength and Fracture

Edge Cracked Plate in Bending



$$F\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2b}{\pi a}} \tan \frac{\pi a}{2b} \left(\frac{0.923 + 0.199(1 - \sin \frac{\pi a}{2b})^4}{\cos \frac{\pi a}{2b}} \right)$$

Tension and Bending







Handbook

| | 9.32 | An embedded elliptical crack near tree surface under tension | 734 | |
|---|------|--|----------|--------------------|
| | 9.33 | A semi-elliptical crack near corner under tension | 742 | |
| | 9.34 | A semi-elliptic surface crack emanating from the inside of an infinitely thick cylinder subjected to internal pressure | 745 | |
| | 9.35 | A semi-elliptical surface crack in internally pressurized cylinder (the crack faces are pressurized) | 748 | Annuk L'Sukuri - |
| Ş | 9.36 | Internal and external surface cracks in cylindrical vessels | 751 | |
| | 9.37 | Cylindrical shell containing a circumferentia or axial part-through crack | 1 759 | X ₃ (z) |
| | 9.38 | A pressurized cylindrical shell with a fixed end which contains an axial part-through or through crack | 771 | |
| | 9.39 | Corner crack in a rotating disk | 786 | X1(x) / |
| | | | | |



Stress Intensity Factors Handbook Y. Murakami Editor, Pergamon Press

Useful approximations



Corner crack

Two free edges Semicircular shape

$$\mathsf{K} = \sigma(1.12)^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\pi a}$$





Superposition



Crack tip stresses: $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{K_{\text{tension}}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} f_{ij}(\theta) + \frac{K_{\text{bending}}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} f_{ij}(\theta)$ $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{K_{\text{tension}} + K_{\text{bending}}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} f_{ij}(\theta) = \frac{K_{\text{total}}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} f_{ij}(\theta)$

$$\mathbf{K}_{\text{total}} = \mathbf{K}_{\text{tension}} + \mathbf{K}_{\text{bending}}$$

tension + bending













Once a crack reaches 10% of the hole radius, it behaves as if the hole was part of the crack



$$K_{lc} > \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right)$$

$$\sigma \sim \sigma_{ys} / \frac{2}{2}$$

$$a \sim 0.1 \text{ mm} - 100 \text{ mm}$$

$$f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right) \sim 1 - 2$$

Static Strength and Fracture

- Stress Concentration Factors
- Fracture Mechanics
- Approximate Stress Intensity Factors
- Ductile vs. Brittle Fracture



Failure Analysis Diagram







$$\frac{P}{2(W-a)B} < \sigma_{flow}$$
$$S = \frac{P}{2WB} \text{ Nominal stress}$$
$$S = \sigma_{flow} \left(1 - \frac{a}{W} \right)$$

Fracture







Fracture vs. Collapse

Fracture and collapse equally likely

$$\sigma_{flow} \left(1 - \frac{a}{W} \right) = \frac{K_{lc}}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{a}{W}} \sqrt{W} f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right)}$$
$$\frac{K_{lc}}{\sigma_{flow}} = \left(1 - \frac{a}{W} \right) \sqrt{\pi \frac{a}{W}} \sqrt{W} f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right)$$

Material Properties

| | σ_{ys} | K _{Ic} | K _{lc} σ _{ys} |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| 1020 | 250 | 200 | 0.800 |
| 2024-T3 | 345 | 44 | 0.128 |
| 7075-T6 | 495 | 25 | 0.051 |
| Ti-6AI-4V | 910 | 105 | 0.115 |
| Ti-6AI-4V | 1035 | 55 | 0.053 |
| 4340 | 860 | 99 | 0.115 |
| 4340 | 1510 | 60 | 0.040 |
| 17-7 PH | 1435 | 77 | 0.054 |
| 52100 | 2070 | 14 | 0.007 |











$$\frac{K_{lc}}{\sigma_{flow}\sqrt{W}} = \left(1 - \frac{a}{W}\right)\sqrt{\pi \frac{a}{W}}\sqrt{\frac{2W}{\pi a}} \tan \frac{\pi a}{2W}$$





Stress distribution

Strain distribution



Nominal Stress

Failure Diagram – Ductile Material ϵ_{f} is large $\varepsilon_{\rm f} = K_{\rm T}^2 \varepsilon_{\rm vs}$ fully plastic $P_{max} = \frac{A_{net} \epsilon_{f} E}{K_{\tau}^{2}}$ strength limited $P_{max} = \sigma_{flow} A_{net}$ a/w $\mathbf{0}$

Strength limit is reached before cracking at the notch

Failure Diagram – Brittle Material



Cracks form at the notch before the limit load is reached





Once a crack reaches 10% of the hole radius, it behaves as if the hole was part of the crack



What ratio of strength to toughness is needed to avoid fracture?

$$K_c = \sigma_{ys} 1.12 K_T \sqrt{\pi 0.1 r}$$

For $K_T = 3$ and r = 10 mm

$$\frac{K_c}{\sigma_{ys}}$$
>0.18

to avoid fracture from the notch

Material Properties

| | σ_{ys} | K _{Ic} | K _{lc} σ _{ys} |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| 1020 | 250 | 200 | 0.800 |
| 2024-T3 | 345 | 44 | 0.128 |
| 7075-T6 | 495 | 25 | 0.051 |
| Ti-6AI-4V | 910 | 105 | 0.115 |
| Ti-6AI-4V | 1035 | 55 | 0.053 |
| 4340 | 860 | 99 | 0.115 |
| 4340 | 1510 | 60 | 0.040 |
| 17-7 PH | 1435 | 77 | 0.054 |
| 52100 | 2070 | 14 | 0.007 |



- Fracture is a likely failure mode for all higher strength materials
- Fracture is even more likely at stress concentrators

Fatigue and Fracture

